Oxygen Weaning Protocol

**Begin**
- Patient is admitted on or requires \( \text{O}_2 \) therapy

**OFF protocol**
- RN or RT to call Primary Resident for oxygen wean per protocol order, if not already done

**Simple Device Oxygen Weaning**
- Patient on high-flow \( \text{O}_2 \)

**Yes**
- Wean \( \text{FiO}_2 \) to keep sat 90\% and 95\%
  - Once \( \text{FiO}_2 \) is decreased to 40\%, RT to begin to wean liter flow

**No**
- **Simple Device Oxygen Weaning**
- **Low-flow \( \text{O}_2 \) Delivery Systems**
- **High-flow \( \text{O}_2 \) Delivery Systems**

**NOTE:** A room air challenge can be attempted at any time.

**Room air challenge**
- Place on room air and observe for 5 min.

**Yes**
- Attempt to wean by a minimum of ½ L or 50% of flow at least every 2 h
  - Continue weaning \( \text{O}_2 \) as tolerated to maintain saturation range between 90\% and 95\%
  - Assess CRS

**No**
- **Room air challenge**
- **Low-flow \( \text{O}_2 \) Delivery Systems**
- **High-flow \( \text{O}_2 \) Delivery Systems**

**Clinical standards are developed for 80\% of the patient population with a particular disease. Each practitioner must use his/her clinical judgment in the management of any specific patient.**
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Critical Points of Evidence*

Evidence Supports

- Wean oxygen by ½ L or 50% of flow in patients <10 kg and by 1 L in patients ≥10 kg. Attempt to wean at least every 2 hours. (1-8) – Strong recommendation, very low quality evidence

*NOTE: The references cited represent the entire body of evidence reviewed to make each recommendation.
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Development Process

This clinical standard was developed using the process outlined in the EBOC Manual. The literature appraisal documents the following steps:

1. Review Preparation
   - PICO questions established
   - Evidence search confirmed with content experts
2. Review of Existing External Guidelines
3. Literature Review of Relevant Evidence
   - Searched: Cochrane, PubMed
4. Critically Analyze the Evidence
   - 2 nonrandomized studies
5. Summarize the Evidence
   - Materials used in the development of the clinical standard, literature appraisal, and any order sets are maintained in an oxygen weaning evidence-based review manual within EBOC.

Evaluating the Quality of the Evidence

Published clinical guidelines were evaluated for this review using the AGREE II criteria. The summary of these guidelines are included in the literature appraisal. AGREE II criteria evaluate Guideline Scope and Purpose, Stakeholder Involvement, Rigor of Development, Clarity and Presentation, Applicability, and Editorial Independence using a 4-point Likert scale. The higher the score, the more comprehensive the guideline.

This clinical standard specifically summarizes the evidence in support of or against specific interventions and identifies where evidence is lacking/inconclusive. The following categories describe how research findings provide support for treatment interventions. “Evidence Supports” provides evidence to support an intervention. “Evidence Against” provides evidence against an intervention. “Evidence Lacking/Inconclusive” indicates there is insufficient evidence to support or refute an intervention and no conclusion can be drawn from the evidence. The GRADE criteria were utilized to evaluate the body of evidence used to make practice recommendations. The table below defines how the quality of the evidence is rated and how a strong versus weak recommendation is established. The literature appraisal reflects the critical points of evidence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Type of Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STRONG</td>
<td></td>
<td>Consistent evidence from well-performed RCTs or exceptionally strong evidence from unbiased observational studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEAK</td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence from RCTs with important limitations (e.g., inconsistent results, methodological flaws, indirect evidence, or imprecise results) or unusually strong evidence from unbiased observational studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence for at least 1 critical outcome from observational studies, RCTs with serious flaws or indirect evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>Evidence for at least 1 critical outcome from unsystematic clinical observations or very indirect evidence.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

 Recommendations

Practice recommendations were directed by the existing evidence and consensus amongst the content experts. Patient and family preferences were included when possible. The Content Expert Team and EBOC team remain aware of the controversies in the weaning of oxygen in children. When evidence is lacking, options in care are provided in the clinical standard and the accompanying order sets (if applicable).

Approval Process

Clinical standards are reviewed and approved by hospital committees as deemed appropriate for its intended use. Clinical standards are reviewed as necessary within EBOC at Texas Children’s Hospital. Content Expert Teams are involved with every review and update.

Disclaimer

Practice recommendations are based upon the evidence available at the time the clinical standard was developed. Clinical standards (guidelines, summaries, or pathways) do not set out the standard of care and are not intended to be used to dictate a course of care. Each physician/practitioner must use his or her independent judgment in the management of any specific patient and is responsible, in consultation with the patient and/or the patient’s family, to make the ultimate judgment regarding care.